

PATENT APPLICATION
Attorney Docket No.: 1338-7 (PCT-EK-05-01)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT:	HAN, Pil-Soo	GROUP ART UNIT: 3624
APPLICATION NO.:	10/538,670	EXAMINER: FEENEY, Brett
FILING DATE:	June 10, 2005	DATED: June 10, 2010

**FOR: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR ESTIMATING THE VALUE OF
ATHLETE USING GAME ACHIEVEMENT EVALUATION MODEL**

Office of Patent Publication
South Tower Building
2900 Crystal Drive, Room 8A24
Arlington, VA 22202

**AMENDMENT AFTER ALLOWANCE PURSUANT TO A REQUIREMENT OF THE
OFFICE OF PATENT PUBLICATION**

Sir:

In response to the Notice of Allowance dated February 4, 2010, and pursuant to a requirement of the Office of Patent Publication under M.P.E.P. 714.16(d)(III), please enter the following amendment to the Specification.

AMENDMENTS TO THE SPECIFICATION

Please delete lines 2-3 on page 185, as follows:

~~{Fig. 1} Classification of players according to the type of game~~

Please delete the graph and graph heading illustrated on page 185.

Please amend the bridging paragraph from line 4 on page 185 to line 12 on page 186, as follows:

As shown in ~~{Fig. 1}~~ Appendix A, among players who attended a target research game, Jae o Cob, Cho o Hyun, Roderic Ha o Bal and Roderic Ha o Bal are grouped into one group A, and Shin o Sung, Seo o Hoon, Hea o Jae and Regi Tar o Zend are grouped into another group B. They showed a similar game type. Kim o Gi, Seock o Il and Yang o Min are not included in the two groups. The above figure will be described with reference to "Results using the athlete evaluation formula of Table 13 according to the present invention". The group A is a player who was evaluated to have a higher attack addition and subtraction points than a defense addition and subtraction points. The group B is a player who was evaluated to have a higher defense addition and subtraction point than an attack addition and subtraction point. Therefore, the players of the group A usually showed the attack-oriented type,

and the players of the group B usually showed the defense-oriented type. Furthermore, Kim o Gi and Seock o Il obtained a low point. It is necessary to monitor may games of them, but Kim o Gi and Seock o Il participated in defense along with Yang o Min. Nevertheless, they did not obtain a high point in any part in the addition and subtraction factors.

Please amend the second full paragraph on page 187, as follows:

~~{Fig. 2}~~ Appendix B below shows that the addition factor points and the subtraction factor points presented in Table 15 are dotted on a two-dimensional space. ~~{Fig. 2}~~ Appendix B shows all participation players at the same time as the addition factor points and the subtraction factor points, helping to evaluate the players visually. As can be seen from ~~{Fig. 2}~~ Appendix B, a linear effect exists between the addition factor points and the subtraction factor points.

Please delete line 7 on page 188, as follows:


~~{Fig. 2} — Linearity for game results~~

Please delete the graph illustrated on page 188.

Please add an Appendix A and an Appendix B after the last full paragraph on page 193,
as follows:


APPENDIX A

Classification of players according to the type of game



APPENDIX B

Linearity for game results



REMARKS

The Specification has been amended, as shown above, pursuant to a requirement of the Office of Patent Publication under M.P.E.P. 714.16(d)(III). Specifically, the foregoing amendments have been made such that the Specification conforms to 37 C.F.R. 1.58(a). Accordingly, the graphs (previously labeled “[Fig. 1]” and “[Fig. 2]”) that were originally illustrated on pages 185 and 188, respectively, have been deleted from said pages and have been relocated to newly added sections Appendix A and Appendix B. In addition, the lines on pages 185 and 187-188 that relate to these graphs have been amended to reflect said deletion and addition of Appendices A and B. No new matter has been added.

Applicant respectfully requests that the foregoing amendments be made to the Specification prior to Issuance of this application.

Should the Office of Patent Publication believe that a telephone conference or personal interview would facilitate resolution of any remaining matters, the Applicant’s attorney may be contacted at the number given below.

Respectfully submitted,



Douglas M. Owens, III
Reg. No. 51,314
Attorney for Applicant

THE FARRELL LAW FIRM, LLP
290 Broadhollow Road, Suite 210E
Melville, New York 11747
Tel: (516) 228-3565
Fax: (516) 228-8516